

# **RatingsDirect**®

### **Research Update:**

## **Bank Norwegian Affirmed At** 'BBB/A-2' On Risk-Adjusted Profitability; Outlook Still Negative On **Growth Risks**

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Salla von Steinaecker, Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-164; salla.vonsteinaecker@spglobal.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Cihan Duran, Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-242; cihan.duran@spglobal.com

#### Table Of Contents

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Ratings Score Snapshot

Related Criteria

Related Research

Ratings List

## **Research Update:**

## Bank Norwegian Affirmed At 'BBB/A-2' On Risk-Adjusted Profitability; Outlook Still Negative On Growth Risks

#### Overview

- Bank Norwegian is continuing its strong consumer lending growth across the Nordics, and the sale of nonperforming loans (NPLs) has supported the quality of its loan portfolio.
- The bank's strategic expansion is backed by customer deposits, which we consider to be more price sensitive than for Nordic universal banking peers.
- However, we consider Bank Norwegian to be an outperformer in terms of risk-adjusted profitability compared with consumer finance peers in Europe.
- We are consequently affirming our 'BBB/A-2' ratings on Bank Norwegian.
- The negative outlook reflects the risk that high loan growth or an aggressive capital payout policy could erode Bank Norwegian's very strong risk-adjusted capitalization.

## **Rating Action**

On March 12, 2019, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'BBB/A-2' long-term and short-term issuer credit ratings on Norway-based consumer lender Bank Norwegian AS. The outlook remains negative.

#### Rationale

Bank Norwegian's strong lending growth is continuing across Norway, Sweden, Finland, and Denmark. To date, Bank Norwegian has demonstrated stable high-margin earnings, with steadily increasing net interest income, due to the rapid credit growth, reported at 17.4% in 2018 (22% adjusted for the sale of the NPL portfolio). The bank's continued expansion in each of its markets facilitates further earnings growth, in our view. In addition, we believe geographic diversification supports revenue stability, given the relatively low correlation among the Nordic consumer finance markets.

We understand that Bank Norwegian is now looking for opportunities to diversify its franchise outside Nordic markets in cooperation with its owner Norwegian Air Shuttle. It has announced a strategic investment in Ireland-based Lilienthal Finance Ltd. to develop banking services across Europe. Although this project is still in a start-up phase, we consider that it could broaden Bank Norwegian's operations into new markets over the medium term

As we expected, business in Denmark and Finland, which the bank entered in 2015 and 2017, has contributed to growth but also led to weaker asset quality metrics. NPLs peaked at 9.2% in the third quarter of 2018, due to the poor performance of loans in those two countries. Bank Norwegian's consequent sale of €160 million of NPLs in Finland in the last quarter of 2018 brought the reported NPL ratio down to 7.6%, roughly in line with the opening quarter of the year when the bank changed to IFRS9 accounting.

We observe that Bank Norwegian has financed its expansion primarily through customer deposits in each country and demonstrates rather balanced key funding metrics. The stable funding ratio is estimated at 119% and core deposits to loans at 93% as of year-end 2018. Although Bank Norwegian aims to broaden its funding profile with senior unsecured debt, we consider that its funding will remain less diversified than peers' in Norway. We understand that the customer deposits are almost fully covered by the Norway's deposit guarantee scheme:

Norwegian krone (NOK) 2 million per depositor in Norway and €100,000 per depositor in foreign branches.

However, in our view, the deposit franchise remains more price- and confidence-sensitive than that of universal Nordic banks. We saw, for instance, that the reduction of the deposit rate in Finland by 100 basis points (bps) to 75bps led to significant outflows late last year. Also, an amendment in Norway's deposit guarantee scheme as of Jan. 1, 2019, has weighed on Bank Norwegian's non-domestic deposit base. We therefore consider Bank Norwegian's funding to be below average rather than average. As a result, we have revised our assessment of Bank Norwegian's stand-alone credit profile to 'bbb-' from 'bbb'.

That said, in our view, Bank Norwegian is an outperformer in its consumer finance peer group. In particular, it displays high risk-adjusted margins and cost efficiency, with its ability to generate capital by retaining earnings to support its growth plans. Its net interest margin was 9.2% in 2018, which is well above its peers'.

Bank Norwegian's earnings buffer also indicates a greater capacity for earnings to cover normalized losses than that of its European consumer finance peers. Moreover, Bank Norwegian's risk-adjusted capital (RAC) ratio was a very strong 17.1% at midyear 2018 and we project it at 17%-18% over 2019-2020, despite continued loan growth. We note Bank Norwegian's public commitment to maintain a 3% management buffer above the regulatory common equity tier 1 capital ratio requirement of 15.9% at the group level before undertaking share buybacks or dividends. We add one notch of uplift to the SACP to take this into account, resulting in the 'BBB' long-term rating on Bank Norwegian.

#### Outlook

The negative outlook stems from our expectation of further significant loan growth at Bank Norwegian in its competitive home markets, which could depress its margins and ultimately its capital position over the next two years.

We could lower the rating on Bank Norwegian if the bank followed a more aggressive growth strategy, jeopardizing its risk-adjusted profitability and asset quality, or if we observed a more aggressive capital policy leading the RAC ratio to fall below 15%. Furthermore, any negative spillover effects from the distressed owner Norwegian Air Shuttle on the bank's reputation and business momentum could lead us to lower the ratings.

We could revise the outlook to stable if the bank maintains a solid RAC ratio and, in our view, lending growth and business evolution has become more sustainable. This could lead us to incorporate the positive adjustment notch into Bank Norwegian's SACP, more specifically, in our business or risk position assessment. We anticipate that this would be indicated, for example, by normalization of loan growth and an improvement of asset-quality figures in Bank Norwegian's newer markets.

## **Ratings Score Snapshot**

Issuer Credit Rating	BBB/Negative/A-2	
SACP	bbb-	bbb
Anchor	a-	a-
Business position	Weak (-2)	Weak (-2)
Capital and earnings	Very strong (+2)	Very strong (+2)
Risk position	Weak (-2)	Weak (-2)
Funding and	Below average and (-1)	Average and (0)
Liquidity	Adequate	Adequate
Support	(0)	(0)
ALAC support	(0)	(0)
GRE support	(0)	(0)
Group support	(0)	(0)
Sovereign support	(0)	(0)
Additional factors	(+1)	(0)

#### **Related Criteria**

- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings , April 7, 2017
- Criteria Financial Institutions Banks: Bank Rating Methodology And Assumptions: Additional Loss-Absorbing Capacity, April 27, 2015
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Bank Hybrid Capital And Nondeferrable Subordinated Debt Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 29, 2015
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Quantitative Metrics For Rating Banks Globally: Methodology And Assumptions, July 17, 2013
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Criteria Financial Institutions Banks: Banks: Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

#### Related Research

- Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Update: February, Feb. 22, 2019
- Nordic Banks Sport Strong Capital -- And It's Not Likely To Soften, Oct. 17, 2018
- Nordic Bank Ratings Continue To Stand Tall, Aug. 16, 2018
- Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment: Norway, May 11, 2018
- · DNB Bank, Eiendomskreditt, Bank Norwegian Ratings Affirmed On Stabilizing Domestic Risks; DNB Bank Outlook Now Positive, March 26, 2018

## **Ratings List**

Ratings Affirmed

Bank Norwegian AS Issuer Credit Rating

BBB/Negative/A-2

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Ratingrelated publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.